| Retreat Feedback | | |
|---|--|--|
| ++ | + | Emailed to president.floros |
| Excellent organization for today- the interaction, movement, connecting and ability to give feedback | Would have been nice to have a little better idea of what we were going to do | I just wanted to thank you and all responsible for the format of Friday's meeting. The interactive, moving around, contributing nature of the event made it enjoyable and fruitful. |
| learning about difficult areas | Need more info on metrics soon to reduce fear among faculty and staff | We enjoyed the day which was very productive. |
| Networking and shared opportunity and experience was ver very valuable. Need to make it a regular event | Be sure that feedback is truly incorporated- not just given and then not incorporated | Thank you for the materials. I have participated in several strategic planning events in DC and at my previous institution and have been involved in companies that went through transformations as a result of strategic planning. This event was very participatory and generated many ideas. It accomplished a lot for this stage! Kudos to all who did this very hard work. |
| broad representation (not just department heads), so I met community college, extension, admissions, govt relations collegues | Worked ok, but morning sessions in each of the 4 strategic goals- more time needed for input (we got cut off just when we got going) | The most challenging part IMHO will be the task forces' abilities to get beyond the information and elevate the level of critical thinking needed to bring the next phase to a level where the process leads to well defined activities and well understood timelines. This process also being influenced by each of our efforts in being effective when writing our action plans. |
| Plan discussion and refinement | Venue was a little difficult for the flow | Aside from a lot of learning about the "mechanics" of how this vision and all its inter-dependent moving parts fit together, an additional benefit to me personally was to HEAR the perspectives and issues from the academic side of house! |

| ++ | + | Emailed to president.floros |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Make NMSU Leads 2025 Your | | |
| Own sessions | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Gathering a variety of campus | | |
| constituents to talk, share and | | |
| develop a common | | |
| understanding cross institution- | | |
| Collaboration and cooperation | | |
| INSTEAD of Competition | | |
| Great opportunity to get to | | |
| know/reconnect people from | | |
| other units and realign with | | |
| students in mind | | |
| conversations across functions | | |
| and departments | | |
| idea generation | | |
| F2F with Collegues | | |
| 4-H Pathways | | |
| 4-H pahtways program | | |

Uncatorgized

scholarships dedicated towards recruiting the best and brightest of NMSU undergraduates into NMSU graduate programs (along with those for outsiders)

extended clinical services to the community

different types of faculty, clinical faculty

Satisfaction from more mone to allocate in the budget

Quality resources in the classroom

retention of faculty, staff, and students

The fat, bloated mid-section of the university. IE Streamlining

Training leaders to have ownership of strategic planning

reduce work load to help us focus on our true priorities

provide them with needed training and resources

empower "front-line" units to make decisions and own their own work

Increase research includes humanitites etc. Besides STEM

For special progects, review committee members expertise; increase their career path

Celebrate success with recruitment and all collabrations at NMSU

Navigate! Yipee!

Streamlining Communication with student and all....

Recruitment opportunities increase! Outreach local clubs in high schools

Building community feeling for NMSU

Culture of not covering up problems- looking at situation by analysing what went wrong

Infrastructure exists to help build bridges between faculty from different disciplines to collaborate together and create experiental learning opportunities for students. "Engineers who are poets and creatives who can do math"

NMSU - system would be cohesive

Each institution would put students first

all institutions would work together

Customer friendly with clear and understandable pathways for transfer from cc and student success at all levels

If we achieved Leads 2025 goals, what may happen is that everyone would be <u>empowered</u> to mange/supervise their areas

NMSU could have reliable methods of communicating within the campus and across the system

Students would be knowledgeable concerning degree requirements and confident in their ability to pursue those requirements

Uncatorgized

Administrative sstems would provide more support to facilitate accomplishement of multiple tasks-hiring, purchasing, studey abroad, etc.

Have several extension and outreach programs that have close ties with local indistry

have a 10% increase in enrollment

many processes would be robust and streamlined

Collaboration and teamwork will become the norm making our system more robust and efficient. A model for the state

More resources with in the system so we don't have to go with out or go outside of the system

The ability to recruit <u>and</u> retain high quality employees to raise our level of achievement

Pique- "converged"- research projects with service units use faculty identify service across disciplines

how do we divide the work? What does it look like to measure

Opportunity- Workload- wahat does it look like?

Creating a "living lab" environment cross disciplinary structures increasesing resources

beyond the border

not continue to stay behind 20 years

allocation of resources

bigger enrollment key to everything; sell ourselves beyond NM

Connection between the different feedback is not full circle

allocation of resources- proper staffing

not everyone can contribute towards all. Evaluated on what each can contribute back to, identified goals

not everyone can contribute towards all. Evaluated on what each can contribute back to, identified goals

Students gain a vision of a productive and successful life because of their degrees from NMSu

Students feel welcome, care, belong... like a family

tutor, internship, talk, career, student chapter conference presentation

school rank,ing higher employer good reputation can find jobs after graduation

support for students early in their journey

social mobility for our community at many levels

recognize success at many levels technitian work to reseach

The business model for the university doesn't work as well as it did 30 years ago. Compensating faculty for reserch and creativity by obtaining grants or intellecutal property.

Uncatorgized

Utilizing VPs to focus on fundraising and grant writing so faulty can focus on student success

New platform being put into place sounds very beneficial (re: Navigate & Slate)

Community College faculty need opportunity for PI academy to foster research collaboration & to make connections to industry to help make links for main campus faculty

Concern about how new innovations are going to affect workload, especially in scarce resource environment – faculty health/stress affected by workload

How can we get resources to support productive people? Salary compression is a huge problem.

Inequitable workloads inside and between departments

Difficult to compare types of research productivity & how these are valued & credited

Time & budget constraints to implement new innovations like training on new software like Slate

We develop new faculty who are new hires & then they get hired away by other universities due to their higher salaries

In the 5 topics, we discussed there was little talk about supporting faculty

We all understand our own units & how to function (e.g., academic unit), but we don't know much about how other units work (e.g., human resources), so it can be difficult to get through the processes due to lack of knowledge (e.g., getting a position posted)

| Look Like? | Feel Like? | Seen As? |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Growing beyond peers | positive changes | Preferred destination |
| financialy stable, competing | | |
| efficent | risk taking | Project vision/reality- enable |
| | | |
| | ease of collaboration/moralles | |
| financially stable | belonging | THE University in the SW |
| | | |
| research faculty and clinical faculty | place where all are welcome | top tier research institute |
| higher grad rates | well funded | modern/efficient |
| nost doss | recruited faculty staff students | leader of a transformtional |
| post docs | recruited faculty, staff, students Feeling of accomplishment and | institution |
| finanically sound | happiness | Internationally Recognized |
| inianically sound | Парритезз | Internationally Recognized |
| balance | Pride in impact NMSU is having | sustainable |
| | 22pass6 | |
| Financially Sound | higher level of employee moral | well-recognized |
| | | |
| | Prospective students (especially | |
| | in this region) would see the | |
| revenue will increase, recruitment | colleges in the system as offering | 1 system that is easy for students |
| and retention increase | quality programs- AND ENROLL | to navigate |
| | | |
| | | A thriving place where students |
| | | and faculty are well supported in |
| | | terms of research and creative |
| | | scholarship (funding, time, |
| Daniel de Difference | a happy collaborative place to | teaching support for |
| move to R1 status | work | departments) |
| | | A variety of types of creative activity- beyond funded research- |
| | positive place where fun feelins | would be recognized and |
| better starting retention of faculty | are happening | honored. |
| Setter starting reterrior or racarry | are nappening | nonorea. |
| Productive research and outreach | the campus should have positive | |
| to the community at large | feel | seen as a leader in community |
| | | , |
| | | Leader in sustainability which |
| | | carries through a lot of different |
| model to look like other institution | Belonging | goals sustainable programs |
| | | students gain a sense of social |
| | Future employement | responsibility because of their |
| Prestige | opportunities | time at NMSU |
| | students feel excited, welcomed, | |
| airea flavina in accessive e | and engaged in the NMSU | |
| river flowing in one direction | community | |

| Look Like? | Feel Like? | Seen As? |
|-------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| student centric environment | | |
| Tier 1 research institute | | |
| Student centric | | |
| | | |
| implementation [of goals] will | | |
| create opportunities through more | | |
| efficient administration and having | | |
| a structure that support | | |

Metrics Feedback

Concerns that the productivity index messes a lot of cruical data. The approach seems oversimplifed

smaller class sizes may improve quality of instruction, relation, etc. yet be penalized in the productivity index approach

Need carity on <u>how</u> the numbers will be used in evaluation

service department's <u>value</u> (ie English) extends way beyond \$ generated. How is this accounted for?

Need to quantify <u>value</u> in ways other than just \$\$

How will productivity metrics be used?

If it is the metrics- problem

Merging the metrics with other factors and wighing of factors

How does the budget work?

Appreciate the metrics as a way to be transparency of the the use of public dollars.

how is the money going to be prioritiezed? When staff/priorites increase in a department- how does the budget follow?

Some departments do more with less or the same amounts of money s others

Productivity index- how do we use it? Might increase our budget? Expectation is you will gain something of value from the index. Budgeting Model is antigrated? Departments are competitive b/c everyone thinks others are getting more than others- need transparency

Sick of hearing how broke we are- lets go get the \$
Rumors that there are reserve funds not there- lots of rumors about money

How we budget- how we count money is important so departmes are ggiven credit for all the monies they actually contribute

Logical, clear process for budgeting

Capital maintenance plan

Peers? Who is deciding?

Takie it as it is

part of competitive pcicture

not positive process

Metrics Feedback

ARTS mission different than other department
Public Productins- productivity may be different
Limited class size to ensure quality education
______ programs with chief metrics applied

Programs may be described negatively without specifics. Specifics provide direction to improve

Teaching should be graduated, each depart5ment has a different philosophy.

Purly measuring on PR

Funding in social sciences hard to set external funding. To get for example \$15K requires a lot of work. When generating proof 5/13 considered

Evaluating long term vacancies would reduce the productivity due to absorption of responsibilities. Strategy of unit in alignment can be hindered

Vacancy impacts all- everyone steps in but over or under staffing imacts productivity

Reduction in staffing has reduced the level of productivity

How do we proritize where cuts are made

feels like a downer-seems very for-profit

experiental learning expers will not be the focus if \$ are the performance measure

other colleges making decisions that impact each othr. How do we recover courses which have been

legislatures training/development; thinks when we start changing the _____ we need to have outreach to educate these. Mediate the message.

If extreme shift to productivity and decide to measure clasroom models, how will that impact legislative support impact?

Compensation model into academia, need to consider a mixed combination

Are the models segmented to account for vacancies

Does the state follow this model? Legislature have to be educated

Is this the same measurement used by other institutions cross the state? Due to to legislative funding concerns

How is student feedback going to be incorporated and how? Can always quantify

Metrics Feedback

The assistance professors provide alumni who contact them to help with professional growth and experiences

It seems odd that in the example metrics money spent per student was negative while being positive for research

the cutting of classes for quality

provide adequate definitions and goals when evaluating based on metrics

College of business is at a disadvantage due to higher salaries of faculty compared to the rest of the unviersity

metrics will be met by faculty but cncommunicated issues wont be fulfilled after the fact

classes that are small in nature- specialized classesmight not be offered under this modle

NMSU struggles to maintain quality graduate student from a financial perspective

business college does not currently do much external research

Duplicity of courses are posing issues – Who gets credit for a cross-listed course?

Might sacrifice quality to get the numbers into the desirable range

If there are external accreditations on a program that dictates a course have caps/requirements, they need to be taken into consideration when compiling and evaluating metrics

If we are being given outcome data, how did we get it? What factors went into this data?

Need to name other metrics that are being developed, as well. to balance the discussion

Have an outreach model that fits with different counties and different county populations

What/how things (dollars) are being counted? Is it including grants? Legislative funds?

How do all of these goals and metrics apply to community colleges?

Support PhDs through GA-ship & do not just restrict to tenure track

Discourages collaboration because they are competing for who gets the credit

| Barrieres | Break Barriers |
|--|--|
| | Communicate! Communicate! With all faculty, staff, |
| change=more work? | administration, etc |
| | managers must help create the culture to promote |
| What can we stop doing? | change and make it safe to change |
| Communication | |
| Inconsistencies across campus | |
| systems opperate independent of each other | |
| a lot of recreation the wheel | |
| | |
| apply best practices whether than always starting over | |
| fiancial | |
| having to do more | |
| being able to stay up beat as a leadeer | |
| go back to some of the previous studies and see if there | |
| are things we can implement | |

| Goal Presentation Feedback | What help do you need? |
|--|------------------------|
| More funies | Incentives |
| Provided perspective | acknolwedgment |
| nice coverage of inormation of what we do | resources |
| Goal 4- Stable university with less dependence on state | |
| money | training |
| Goal 4- Increase presence in nm and region | |
| Goal 3- Increase in emphasis in health of stakeholders; | |
| more external programming | |
| Goal 2- Increase funding and success of grant | |
| submission; more robust and helpful | |
| Goal 2- OGC with grant writers | |
| Goal 1- Increase enrollment; have better reputation and | |
| more proactive with recruiting | |
| Goal 4 is kicking our butts | |
| new VPR inistiative about how folks are committed as | |
| researchers- counting as post docs- is that a way to | |
| keep a great adjustment | |
| on the one hand your budget is not your strategic plan | |
| BUT I have to have recuring and need to make decisions | |
| with resources | |
| The burden or barrier fo the amount of reporting, | |
| evaluation, and data that department heads have to do- | |
| repeating the same info over and over to different | |
| people- not the best use of DH time- takes away from | |
| their time with faculty | |
| Issues of salary and turnover and lack of striving to keep | |
| people, you have to pay them | |
| | |
| Need to foster connections between thedisciplines at | |
| main and at the CC's. joint appointments between main | |
| and CC forfaculty- one idea . Could improve student | |
| success, researc, faculty, moral | |

| Goal Presentation Feedback | What help do you need? |
|---|------------------------|
| | |
| Goal 3- Museums and collection on campus- we need to | |
| be takking care of team in a way that benefits are R1 | |
| collection care and management. Affects diversity and | |
| inclusion, as well as outreach and research | |
| Recognition everyone should contribute to a goal not | |
| necessarily all | |
| Big Picture good | |
| 1. Greater <u>diversity</u> of faculty; bringing role models, | |
| social invisibility, more proactive | |
| 2. Internationalized (lack of international or border | |
| programss) serious consensus at about programs. Latin | |
| America and Border | |
| 3. Proactive about social context we live in now. | |
| Advocate for students such as political enviornment, | |
| economic outreach, health, econ | |
| 4. Resources into successful programs | |
| Goal 4 session was helpful because of its broad range | |
| and lleviates the small issues that have a significant | |
| impact | |
| Goal 1- was exciting because by impacting students for | |
| the better, the jobs of administrators and faculty gets | |
| better | |